

ACTION SUMMARY FOR DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING May 21, 2020 7:00 PM

MITCHELL, L	Р
KAHN, C	Р
CLARKE, T	Р
PINK, D	Р

FINACOM, S	Р
COVARRUBIAS, M	Р

Public Attendance: 18 Staff in Attendance: Public Speakers: 10 Burns, Dougherty

I. PROJECTS

1. 2023 SHATTUCK AVENUE [between Addison and University] (DRCP2019-0002): Preliminary Design Review to demolish the remaining structure on the vacant lot following a fire and construct a 24,178 square-foot, seven-story, 73'5" tall, mixed-use building with 48 dwellings (including 4 dwellings available to very low income households) and 1,250 square feet of ground floor commercial space. The project would provide no parking and secure storage for 34 bicycles.

Preliminary Design Review received a favorable recommendation to ZAB with the following direction for Final Design Review (FDR): MOTION (Kahn, Mitchell)) VOTE (6-0-0-0)

Conditions:

- For FDR, provide final color and material palette;
- Elevations with all material and expansion joints noted;
- Awning detail at residential entry;
- Railing detail with further design refinements for a stronger statement;
- Exterior lighting;
- Roof deck details, including shading measures for western sun; provide 24" box tree; and
- Strategy for adapting the floor plan for future connection to back alley.

Recommendations:

- Consider more place-making in the lobby; provide seating.
- Look carefully at side elevations and consider extending material from front elevation or provide details for further articulation where possible.
- Green building measures should be increased if possible.

2. 2099 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. WAY [at Addison] (DRCP2019-0006): Preliminary Design Review to demolish an existing one story 3,595 square foot auto service building and construct a 62,419 square-foot, seven-story, 69' tall, mixed-use building with 72 dwellings (including 5 dwellings available to very low income households) and 2,448 square feet of ground floor retail space. The project would provide 12 parking spaces and secure storage for 38 bicycles within a grade level garage.

Preliminary Design Review received a favorable recommendation to ZAB with the following direction for Final Design Review (FDR): MOTION (Kahn, Finacom) VOTE (6-0-0-0)

Conditions:

- Provide more information on roof deck design at FDR and include information on any coverings.
- Provide more detail on perforated metal panels at the 2nd floor.
- Modify floor plans so that one of the stairs is more accessible to the lobby.
- Provide final color palette at FDR, and include an alternate for further discussion.
- Applicant will provide more detail about the 6th floor planters, including drainage and maintenance information.
- Applicant shall present a strategy for future take-out windows at FDR.

Recommendations:

- Some protection on the roof from both sun and rain was recommended.
- Develop a destination at the north end of the roof deck, in addition to what is already at the south.
- More space for gardening on the roof is strongly recommended.
- Look at a thicker edge band at the parapet, with an emphasis at the corner; Consider extending the corner banding to the roof.
- Committee would like to compare an earth tone color palette against one with a primary accent color.
- One alternate to perforated panels may be frosted glass it may be better to simplify the number of different accent materials.
- If art is not selected for the east wall, show more detail of this wall area at FDR.
- There was some concern that text-based art would not be successful on that east elevation.
- Applicant confirmed that they will only have electric components on the roof, not gas.
- 3. 2795 SAN PABLO AVENUE [at Bowditch] (DRCP2019-0009): [between Ward and Oregon] (DRCP2019-0003): Preliminary Design Review to demolish an existing one story single family residence and construct a new three story, 4 unit residential building with 4 vehicular spaces, 470 square feet of useable open space.

Preliminary Design Review was continued with the following recommendations: MOTION (Clarke, Finacom) VOTE (6-0-0-0)

Neighborhood Context

- Strongly recommend retaining the existing street tree.
- Show building in more detailed context with adjacent building to the north on San Pablo.
- Break from aesthetic of the project adjacent to the north; this project should have its own identity.
- San Pablo facade appears to be severe, but shed roof at rear of parcel looks softer and a more distinctive design.
- Carefully consider the fence on the south property line it may be visible for a long time.

Building / Facade Design

- Consider any design options for reducing the amount of solid ground floor garage walls.
- Consider no parking; as shown, there is a lot of heavy structure on the ground floor for very little parking.
- If no parking in design, consider porches or stoops for residential units and better bike parking.
- There appears to be too much going on on the San Pablo elevation. Consider simplifying the facade; pick the strongest, most successful lines, and proceed from there.
- Garage door is a large percentage of the San Pablo elevation and a major design element; consider a shorter door and softening the design.
- Consider lowering the black horizontal band on the San Pablo facade for a better pedestrian scale.
- Middle units do not appear to be as livable as the end units.
- Elevator appears to be too small.

Landscape Plan

- Small backyard open space is separated from the units look at ways to better relate the units to the open space.
- Construction may prove difficult for some of the existing landscape in the rear yard; consider where some new landscape elements may be better than retaining the existing landscape in the rear of the parcel.
- Provide a programed open space design for the rear yard and include seating area or other program elements; grass area could get worn out, so provide more detail on this.
- Show how plant palette will work since there will be less sun in that rear yard space.

II. BUSINESS MATTERS

- · Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes
 - A. Minutes from 2/20/20 DRC Meeting MOTION (Clarke, Pink) VOTE (6-0-0-0)

III. ADJOURN

Meeting adjourned: 10:30 PM